(b) if so what are the basis of arriving at net realisation;

(c) whether it is a fact that commision ig part of MAPE;

Id) if so, how the commission has been deducted for arriving at net realisation; and

(e) what was the production of each of these drugs from the relevant date yearwise, prices charged by the company in formulations in respect of each bulk drug and what is the notified price of each?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) to (e) The required details to the extent available would be collected and laid on the Table of the House.

Court Cases regarding Vitamin Formulations

1421. SHRI JAGESH DESAI; Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 3657 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 29th August, 1988 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that his Ministry challenged the decision of Bombay High Court before_ the rull bench of the court in_respect of vitamin formulations;

(b) if so. what are the basis of information that his Ministry accepted the request of the company,

(c) what are the contents of the request filed by the company with Government;

(d) what were the prices of vitamin formulations as fixed by his Ministry under DPCO[1], 1979 and what were the prices which the company was charging under the court order;

(e) what are the prices which his Ministry has now fixed under DPCO 1987 for each formulations; and

(f) what *is the* price of bulk drugs taken by his Ministry while fixing the price of vitamin formulations and what is the percentage increase in price of each formulations of this company?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) In its ktter dated the 6th April, 1988 M/s. Pfizer conveyed that upon receipt of revised prices under DPCO, 1987, with MAPE adjusted prices of its non-leader Vitamin and other formulations, the company shall withdraw the pending Court cases in respect of Vitamin '^orrmi^ationfij j Subsequently. the company filed an application for withdrawal of their Writ Petition in Bombay High Court.

(d) to (f) The revised prices were fixed under DPCO, 1987 by merely adjusting the rate of MAPE from 60 per cent to 100 per cent in the prices already fixed by the Government under DPCO. 1979 except in the case of Terramycin SF Capsules. The requried details to the extent available are given in the statement at Annexure. *(See* Appendix CXLVIII Annexure No. 62).

prices of bulk drugs

1422. DR. BAPU KALDATE; Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the Answer to Unstarred Question 3752 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 29th August, 1988 and state;

(a) what is the total number of formulations based on Betamethasone its sa'ts and Dexamethasone being marketed by the. companies who challenged the Government fixed prices under DPCO. 1979;

(b) whether if ig a fact that these companies Tiave not implemented the fixed prices though upheld by cdurln;